Audit Committee 28 January 2019

CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

1 Purpose

1.1 To brief the committee on the updated Corporate Risk Register.

2 Recommendations/for decision

2.1 To review the Corporate Risk Register and associated actions (Appendix 2) and identify any issues for further consideration

3 Corporate Risk Register - Supporting information

- 3.1 The Audit Committee has a role to monitor the effectiveness of risk management and internal control across the Council. As part of discharging this role the committee is asked to review the Corporate Risk Register.
- 3.2 The Corporate Risk Register provides evidence of a risk aware and risk managed organisation. It reflects the risks that are on the current radar for Strategic Board. Some of them are not dissimilar to those faced across other local authorities.
- 3.3 The risk register is reviewed regularly by Strategic Board and reported to the Audit Committee and Cabinet.

4 Reasons for Recommendation

4.1 To allow members of the Audit Committee to review the Corporate Risk Register.

5 Resource implications

5.1 None

Contact Officer Kate Mulhearn – Corporate Governance Manager

Tel: 01296 585724

Background Documents None

Corporate Risk Register Update

The Corporate Risk Register (CRR) shows the key risks to the Council and the actions that are being taken to respond to these risks.

Since the last audit Committee in October 2018, the Secretary of State has announced his decision for a single unitary authority for Buckinghamshire. The Corporate Risk Register has been fully refreshed and updated to reflect the changing context for AVDC risks. The risk register was last reviewed by Strategic Board on 9 January 2019 and by Cabinet on 14 January 2019.

Over time the nature and scale of the Council's risks change. This means it is difficult to make direct comparison or provide meaningful comment on trend. However, when we take a view of the corporate risk profile over the last year, it is apparent that the impact of the Unitary decision in November 2018 has significantly increased the overall level of risk within the Council. Two new risks have been added and the rating of other risks has increased. This reflects the impact of both the level of uncertainty over the influence of the Shadow Authority and the high likelihood of the loss of key staff, on AVDC's ongoing ability to deliver its objectives and services in line with the current business model. The table below shows the changing risk profile over time.

	Total	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme	Not yet assessed
January 2019	23	3	8	7	4	1
October 2018	26	2	13	7	1	3
June 2018	25	2	12	9	1	1
March 2018	22	2	12	6	1	1
Direction of Travel		\longleftrightarrow	\downarrow	\longleftrightarrow	1	-

There are 23 risks on the corporate risk register. The residual risk rating is summarised as follows:

	Re	esidual Risk Rating	
Low risk	Moderate risk	High Risk	Extreme risk
3	8	7	4
	Moderate risk 8 10) Fail to manage and deliver major capital projects on budget and to time - The Exchange 12) Aylesbury Vale Estates (AVE) does not deliver capital receipts and objectives of business plan. 13) Fail to deliver a sound Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan before the transition to new unitary council. 16) Deterioration of quality of planning service delivery, decisions and timeliness of response to applications in the face of increasing growth demand; compounded by vacancies in the planning team (although reducing), reliance on consultants and the rate of growth within the Vale 17) Health & Safety - Noncompliance with Fire and Health and Safety legislation. Failure to provide a safe place for staff and visitors on AVDC property and/or events. 19) Business interruption affecting the Council's resources and its ability to deliver critical services. 20) Information Governance - A significant data breach, Inappropriate access, corruption or	High Risk 7 5) Failure to deliver the Connected Knowledge Strategy and achieve the Council's Digital objectives within AVDC lifetime. Lack of alignment to wider strategic / unitary authority objectives. New and existing systems/processes are not fully integrated. 8) In-housing of Street and Horticulture service (Streetscene) is not completed by the end of the current contracts (January 2020), and in line with AVDC Council decision. 9) Fail to manage and deliver major capital projects on budget and to time - Pembroke Road redevelopment 11) Decline in retail sector reduces ongoing viability of AVDCs Town Centre assets and limits success of regeneration programme 14) Inadequate working with stakeholders to ensure safety of residential buildings following Grenfell. 15) Impact of BREXIT - financial (eg fuel costs), procurement, employment, regulatory, environmental, major	2) Unknown impact of the influence of the Shadow Authority on AVDCs ability to deliver strategic goals and priorities in line with agreed objectives and the current business model. Focus on priority projects and planned transformation diminishes with competing demands of unitary authority. 3) Deterioration in core service delivery due to loss of key staff & inability to recruit or retain high performing staff. Poor morale, or lack of foreseeable opportunity leads to "the best" seeking alterative employment, or not being willing to join AVDC. 4) Lack of clarity and/or political engagement with partners hinders ability to engage in & influence next round of growth including consideration of CaMKOx Corridor, HS2, housing need targets. A Bucks wide plan could result in even more housing in the Vale geography. 7) Depot Transformation Programme fails to deliver
	significant data breach,	fuel costs), procurement, employment, regulatory,	7) Depot Transformation

Notes:

The following risk has not yet been fully assessed and rated:

 6) Fail to deliver the Commercial Property Investment strategy and achieve planned return on investment - has not been assessed. The viability and priority of the investment strategy needs to be reviewed in light of the Unitary change.

Risk Matrix

	5	Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25				
	4	Major	4	8	12	16	20				
Impact	3	Moderate	3	6	9	12	15				
	2 Minor		2	4	6	8	10				
	1	Negligible	1	2	3	4	5				
			Rare	Rare Unlikely Possible Likely							
	Scor	e	1	2	3	4	5				
			Likelihood								

1-3	Low Risk	Acceptable risk; No further action or additional controls are required; Risk at this level should be monitored and reassessed at appropriate intervals
4 - 6	Moderate Risk	A risk at this level may be acceptable; If not acceptable, existing controls should be monitored or adjusted; No further action or additional controls are required.
8 – 12	High Risk	Not normally acceptable; Efforts should be made to reduce the risk, provided this is not disproportionate; Determine the need for improved control measures.
15 - 25	Extreme Risk	Unacceptable; Immediate action must be taken to manage the risk; A number of control measures may be required.

Risk Ratings - Impact

Score	Descriptor	Compliance	Finance	Health and safety	Internal Control	Political	Reputational	Staffing & Culture
1	Negligible	No or minimal impact or breach of guidance/ statutory duty	Small loss risk of claim remote	Minor injury; Cuts, bruises, etc.; Unlikely to result in sick leave	Control is in place with strong evidence to support	Parties work positively together with occasional differences; Members & executive work co-operatively	Rumours; Potential for public concern	Short-term low staffing level that temporarily reduces service quality (<1 day)
2	Minor	Breach of statutory legislation; Reduced performance rating from external/internal inspector	Loss of 0.1-0.25 per cent of budget; Claim less than £20k	Moderate injuries; Likely to result in 1-7 days sick leave	Control in place with tentative evidence	Parties have minor differences of opinion on key policies; Members and executive have minor issues	Local media coverage short term reduction in public confidence; Elements of public expectation not met	Low staffing level that reduces the service quality
3	Moderate	Single breach in statutory duty; Challenging external or internal recommendations or improvement notice	Loss of 0.25-0.5 per cent of budget; Claims between £20k - £150k.	Major injuries; More than 7 days sick leave – notifiable to HSE	Control in place with no evidence to support	Members begin to be ineffective in role; Members and Executive at times do not work positively together	Local media coverage – long term reduction in public confidence	Late delivery of key objective/service due to the lack of staff; Low staff morale; Poor staff attendance for mandatory/key training
4	Major	Enforcement action; Multiple breaches of statutory duty; Improvement notices; Low performance ratings	Uncertain delivery of key objectives/loss of 0.5 – 1.0 percent of budget; Claims between £150k to £1m	Death; Single fatality	Partial control in place with no evidence	Members raise questions to officers over and above that amount tolerable; Strained relationships between Executive and Members	National media coverage with key directorates performing well below reasonable public expectation	Uncertain delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff; Unsafe staffing level or competence; Loss of key staff; Very low staff morale; No staff attending training
5	Catastrophic	Multiple breaches in statutory duty; Prosecution; Complete system changes required; Zero performance against key priorities and targets	Non delivery of key objective/loss of >1 percent of budget; Failure to meet specification/slipp age; Loss of major income contract	Multiple deaths; More than one Fatality	No control in place	Internal issues within parties which prevent collaborative working; Que from members shift resources away from corporate priorities	National media coverage, public confidence eroded; Member intervention/action	Non-delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff; Ongoing unsafe staffing levels or competence; Loss of several key staff; Staff not attending training on ongoing basis

Risk Rating – Likelihood

	Likelihood	Likelihood Descriptors	Numerical likelihood
1	Rare	May occur only in exceptional circumstances	Less than 10%
2	Unlikely	Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so	Less than 25%
3	Possible	Might happen or recur occasionally	Less than 50%
4	Likely	Will probably happen/recur but it is not a persisting issue	50% or more
5	Very Likely	Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently	75% or more

Capacity to Manage

Capacity to Manage	Description
Full	Full – all reasonable steps have been taken to mitigate the risk and are operating effectively. The cost / benefit considerations on implementing additional controls have been considered and no additional actions are proposed.
Substantial	Substantial – there are sound arrangements to manage the risk with some scope for improvement. Arrangements have had a demonstrable impact in reducing either the likelihood or consequence of the risk.
Moderate	Moderate – there are a number of areas for improvement in arrangements that would help to demonstrate effective and consistent management of the risk.
Limited	Limited – there are significant areas for improvement in arrangements that would help to demonstrate effective and consistent management of the risk.
None	None – there are a lack of clear arrangements in mitigation of the risk.

AVDC Corporate Risk Register

Last review date: 9 January 2019

Last review date: 9	January 2019				. 5					11-1-1-1				
Ref Risk Owner	Delegated Manager	Risk	Potential Consequences	Inhe Likelihood	rent Risk Ra Impact	Overall Risk Rating	Capacity to Manage Risk	Existing Controls & Mitigation	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Risk Rating	DoT (up = increasing risk)	Proposed Actions/Comment	Completion Date
1 Andrew Smal	Strategic Board	Fail to achieve the Medium Term Financial Plan. Annual sector budgets are not delivered.	Failure to meet statutory obligations and business objectives; Pressure on budgets increase; Inefficient and ineffective use of resources; Poor publicity and reputation damage; Inability to meet the demands of the future and ensure continuous improvement of services.	4	5	20	Substantial	Longer term view, still maintain 4 years balanced budget, but working towards March 2020. Strategic Board monitoring the budget; regular reporting through Cabinet. Quarterly financial digest. Budget managers review cost centre reports.		3	3	↓	Draft 19/20 budget and MTFP to 2023 to Cabinet in December, scrutiny in January and Full Council in Feb 2019. Balanced budget for the timeframe of AVDC.	, mandany , re
2 Andrew Gran	Strategic	Unknown impact of the influence of the Shadow Authority on AVDCs ability to deliver strategic goals and priorities in line with agreed objectives and the current business model. Focus on priority projects and planned transformation diminishes with competing demands of unitary authority.	Uncertainty over future direction impacts all areas of activity; Inability to deliver objectives and vision of AVDC; continued improvement of customer service delivery ceases, deflection of resource and focus has adverse impact on service delivery; AVDC reputation for innovation and forward thinking is diminished.	4	4	16	Moderate	Ongoing monitoring of KPIs and metrics established to support AVDC updated "vision"	4	4	16	New	Clear process for assessing priorities - finish/continue to progress/stop	<u> </u>
3 Andrew Gran	Strategic Board	Deterioration in core service delivery due to loss of key staff & inability to recruit or retain high performing staff. Poor morale, or lack of foreseeable opportunity leads to "the best" seeking alterative employment, or not being willing to join AVDC.	Loss of key staff; inability to attract staff during time of uncertainty; Deterioration of service delivery; failure to achieve strategy, lack of staff commitment, poor morale & performance; Increase in staff stress levels; financial cost of agency staff; improvement projects are delayed/cancelled.	4	5	20	Moderate	Unitary HR protocols in place - recruitment aligned across all 5 councils. Behavioural Framework used for candidate selection and case studies being refreshed and new "cloud" introduced REACH performance development becoming embedded and REACH toolkit produced. This includes building behaviours more formally into the REACH process. Employee Relations - Collaboration and healthy challenge with trade union and staff representatives and challenges addressed in partnership. New E'ee reps added to current group Wellbeing -Outplacement scheme implemented. Coaching programme in place. Connected Working programme linked with other projects to support CK and IT Strategy. Regular staff comms from Directors to engage on corporate vision and direction. Recruitment ongoing with a range of strategies - new roles updated to reflect Unitary decision. Use of contractors to cover permanent vacancies. Contractor (Agency) costs are monitored monthly.	4	4	16		Budget pressure to be recognised for foreseeable increase in agency costs. Regular internal comms needed. Ongoing focus on staff development. Review all sector risk registers to reflect impact of unitary and highlight key pressure points - approx 90 staff over 55yrs, 60% current employees <2yrs.	
4 Tracey Aldworth	Will Rysdale	Lack of clarity and/or political engagement with partners hinders ability to engage in & influence next round of growth including consideration of CaMKOx Corridor, HS2, housing need targets. A Bucks wide plan could result in even more housing in the Vale geography.	Lack of engagement in planning issues impacting the Vale geography; expose district to "planning by appeal"; developer challenge; Government sanctions; lack of ability to secure strategic infrastructure; additional housing growth absorbed by Aylesbury Vale.	4	4	16	Moderate	AVDC and other Bucks DCs are part of Central Area Growth Board . Close working with other neighbouring LA's.	4	4	16	1	Need to start thinking about the process for preparing a Bucks wide plan for growth. Need engagement with Parishes AVDC and other Bucks DC's agreed to join Central Area growth Board but BCC is not part of this. Need focus on delivering local plans as a priority (refer risk #13). Uncertainty over influence of Shadow Authority on AVDC planning process - secure legal opinion on impact on Development Management. Clarity on comms with external partners and key stakeholders. Visibility of AVDC and "seat at the table" important to maintain.	
5 Andrew Gran	Maryvonne Hassall	Failure to deliver the Connected Knowledge Strategy and achieve the Council's Digital objectives within AVDC lifetime. Lack of alignment to wider strategic / unitary authority objectives. New and existing systems/processes are not fully integrated.	Unitary - AVDC achievements and plans for digitisation of services is not pursued. Operational - New systems lack robust business processes and controls; poor integration between systems; failure to comply with GDPR and other legislative requirements exposing the Council to potential breaches; Data sharing of personal & sensitive information, cyber risk. Financial - VFM & unbudgeted costs Reputational - damage to reputation and standing as a "Digital Council", relationship with suppliers, disengage community through lack of access to digital services. Staff - capacity issues to implement changes whilst still delivering "day job" - flight risk of key people.	3	4	12	Moderate	CK Strategic Board set up to ensure alignment and oversight (Sept 17). Funding agreed for 2019/20 Programme governance arrangements, steering group, regular reporting to CAVDC Board	3	4	12		Currently half way through Phase 2 of programme. Phase 3 plans have been agreed. Focus on completing what we have started and need to consider impact of Unitary on new activities. AVDC Digital programme lead engaged with Unitary Programme Planning Group.	
6 Andrew Smal	l Teresa Lane	Fail to deliver the Commercial Property Investment strategy and achieve planned return on investment.	Pressure on budgets increase; Inefficient and ineffective use of resources; Poor publicity and reputation damage; Inability to meet the demands of the future and ensure continuous improvement of services.	4	4	16	Limited	Property Investment Strategy approved by Cabinet Sept 17. Board in place to oversee governance arrangements. Financial strategy does not depend on investment income. Delivery of strategy deferred to take account of consultation and subsequent revised Prudential Code. Meeting held with Montague Evans to review strategy in light of the new Code and strengthen governance arrangements. Proposed that most roles and tasks relating to delivery will be outsourced for at least the first year.			ТВА		Viability of investment strategy as originally envisioned needs to be reviewed in light of Unitary decision . All significant financial investments/spend will be required to come forward to the Shadow Authority. No financial dependency on outcome of investments.	I HOLLOWING
7 Tracey Aldworth	Will Rysdale	Depot Transformation Programme fails to deliver commercial, customer, H&S, Environmental objectives.	Inability to deliver services to public; death or injury to public or staff; regulatory fines; criminal prosecution or civil litigation; reputational damage; financial cost.	3	4	12	Moderate	Programme of works to March 2019 mapped out. Dedicated programme manager. Operations H&S officer in post. Monthly Programme Board oversight; quarterly updates to Strategic Board	4	4	16	1	Review of EA requirements for new site, particularly wrt Fire Prevention Plans is in progress. An assessment of additional works and potential cost impact is required. Process in place to move to Competent Authority status to remove need for reliance on key individuals to ensure compliance with operating licence requirements. Also staff capacity review and wider training programme in progress. Original business plan for ATF lane to be reviewed as no more licences are being granted.	Mar-19

			Inherent Risk Rating				Res	sidual Risk R	ating	DoT (up =			AVD	
ef Risk Owner Delegated Manager	Risk	Potential Consequences	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Risk Rating	Capacity to Manage Risk	Existing Controls & Mitigation	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Risk Rating		Proposed Actions/Comment	Completio Date	DC Priority
8 Tracey Aldworth Will Rysdale	Inhousing of Street and Horticulture service (Streetscene) is not completed by the end of the current contracts (January 2020), and in line with AVDC Council decision.	Failure to deliver services, financial costs, damage to AVDC reputation.	4	4	16	Substantial	Full Council approval, Project Manager, Operations Board for oversight & governance, budget approved	3	3	9	New	Project plan developed and work has commenced. Need to ensure continued focus and relevant priority given to project given tight timescale and potential for delays.	Jan-20	Fit
9 TAndrew Small I	Fail to manage and deliver major capital projects on budget and to time - Pembroke Road redevelopment	Costs exceed budget; inability to expand services and generate commercial income (e.g. HGV MOTs); damage relationships with future/existing tenants; Reputation damage	3	4	12	Substantial	Major Capital Projects Member group – Highlight reports, challenge from legal, finance and risk; Project teams with external contractors in place with established governance processes.	3	3	9	1	Delays to workshop (2020) due to discharge of 2 reserve planning matters (archaeological & contamination) with requirements for additional surveys.		Financially Fit
LO Andrew Small Teresa Lane	Fail to manage and deliver major capital projects on budget and to time - The Exchange	Costs exceed budget; damage relationships with future/existing tenants; Reputation damage; impact on wider Town Centre Regeneration programme and ability to enhance existing assets.	3	3	9	Substantial	Major Capital Projects Member group – Highlight reports, challenge from legal, finance and risk; Project teams with external contractors in place with established governance processes.	2	3	6	1	More of vacant units have been let. More positive outcomes of recent negotiations with potential tenants. Financial impact (2019/20) being monitored through budget pressures		Shaping of Place
11 Andrew Small Teresa Lane	Decline in retail sector reduces ongoing viability of AVDCs Town Centre assets and limits success of regeneration programme	Decline in town centre investment, vacant property, reduced return on investment, increasing unemployment, reduction in business rates income.	4	4	16	Moderate	Aylesbury Town Centre plan and regeneration programme; joint Officer Steering Group (AVDC, BCC, ATC) monitors progress against action plan and receives ned ideas/challenges. AGT Board and Project Team is overseeing & reviewing the masterplan for the Garden Town which includes the town centre.	3	3	9	New	AVDC investment in The Exchange will deliver new public space, restaurants, businesses, helping to change the town centre offering. AGT status is enabling bids for funding to support the town centre. Bids for the new £675m High Street fund are currently being considered.		of Place
12 Andrew Small Teresa Lane	Aylesbury Vale Estates (AVE) does not deliver capital receipts and objectives of business plan.	Inability to achieve expected distribution from the partnerships and grow AVDC's investments; security of loans. Satisfaction/relationship with existing customers/community deteriorates; Reputational damage to Council and Members if high profile ventures fail; negative impact of "commercial" decisions on Council's wider strategic & community objectives.	4	4	16	Moderate	Information included in Qtly Digest to reflect investments & performance. AVE business plan went to Scrutiny & Cabinet Jan18. Robust challenge and stretch targets to deliver. Held Risk Workshop with AVE (Jan17) and developed risk register. Partnership Agreement in place, business plan process in place and plan subject to scrutiny and cabinet approval. AVDC representatives on AVE abreast of issues. On-going monitoring and monthly meetings taking place. Asset Managers have been directly advised of performance concerns.	2	3	6	→	Private sector uncertainty. Unclear about working with new authority. Internal audit review of AVE governance arrangements in early 2019	Mar-19	Financially Fit
3 Tracey Aldworth Will Rysdale	Fail to deliver a sound Vale of Aylesbury Local Plan before the transition to new unitary council.	Opportunistic planning applications; Loss of local control; Government send in own planning team; Loss of New Homes Bonus.	3	3	9	Moderate	VALP approved by Council 18 October. Project manager in place. Weekly action plans and progress monitoring. Regular engagement and communication with CLG to discuss timeframes. Early engagement of QC. Support from the Planning Officers Society; Advice from Planning Inspectorate; Working with the Bucks Planning Officers Group.	2	3	6	\Rightarrow	Examination held. Awaiting Planning Inspectors response to our comments (refer risk #4).	ТВА	of Place
4 Andrew Grant Will Rysdale	Inadequate working with stakeholders to ensure safety of residential buildings following Grenfell.	Death or injury to public; loss of public trust; damage to reputation	2	5	10	Substantial	Liaising with MHCLG, working with leaseholder and housing association	2	4	8	1	Friars House in Aylesbury is over 18 meters tall and is fitted with ACM cladding. We are working closely with Moreland Estate Management, the Vale of Aylesbury Housing Trust (VAHT), Bucks Fire and Rescue and MHCLG to ensure the safety of residents. New government guidance and powers issued January 2019. AVDC to take next steps accordingly.	ТВА	Environment
L5 Andrew Small Andy Barton	Impact of BREXIT - financial (eg fuel costs), procurement, employment, regulatory, environmental, major projects//partnering arrangements	Impacts all areas of Council activities	4	4	16	Substantial	Detail risk register and action plan, working group monitoring	3	3	9	1	Next meeting of working group in Jan/Feb19 post HoC vote.	Feb-19	Fit
Tracey Jeff Aldworth Membery	Deterioration of quality of planning service delivery, decisions and timeliness of response to applications in the face of increasing growth demand; compounded by vacancies in the planning team (although reducing), reliance on consultants and the rate of growth within the Vale	Damage to reputation, customer complaints/appeals, status as Planning Authority.	4	3	12	Substantial	Planning performance report to Audit Committee October 18; customer journey analysis, member case load, planning updates & communications etc.	2	3	6	\rightarrow	2 new roles approved November 18 - ongoing focus on recruitment and retention		of Place

			Inher	rent Risk Rating				Re	sidual Risk F	ating	D.T.		AVE	
Ref Risk Owner Delegated Manager	Risk	Potential Consequences	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Risk Rating	Capacity to Manage Risk	Existing Controls & Mitigation	Likelihood	Impact	Overall Risk Rating	DoT (up = increasing risk)		Completion DC Priority	
Corporate compliance/safe	ety risks:													
17 Andrew Small Andy Barton	Health & Safety - Non compliance with Fire and Health and Safety legislation. Failure to provide a safe place for staff and visitors on AVDC property and/or events.	Death or injury to public or staff; criminal prosecution or civil litigation; Service stopped; Loss of public trust; Action by Health and Safety Executive or Bucks Fire and rescue, e.g. fine up to £4m, corporate manslaughter charges; Insurance claims/financial loss	2	4	8	Moderate	Corporate H&S Manager, part-time H&S Advisor, Operations H&S Officer at Pembroke Road. Fire Risk Assessments performed for all property (Apr17) and reviewed (Dec17). Strategic Health and Safety Board monitor risk and performance. H&S Committee meets every 3 mnths. Management of contractors procedure in place and training provided. Ongoing training planned throughout 2018. New M&E service provider selected (Apr18) which will see a more uniformed and monitored approach to pre-planned maintenance and reactive work	2	3	6		 Management of asbestos & legionella currently being reviewed in line with new M&S service contract. Statutory programme to be followed - ongoing. Sector risk assessments and risk profiling to be reviewed Action plan developed following assessment visit in April from Counter Terrorism Prevention Advisor (CTPA) about the new CSC, safety of staff and general security of the building. Resource/capacity challenges - recruitment of full time H&S manager early 2019. 	Feb-19	
8 Andrew Small Will Rysdale	Fail to plan for a major or large scale incident (accident, natural hazard, riot or act of terrorism). Risk to safety of public & staff	Public safety. Service delivery disruption and impact on the Council's ability to deliver critical services. Reputational damage to the council.	2	4	8	Moderate	Resilience workshop with Local Resilience Forum to focus on long term response planning. Thames Valley Local Resilience Plan in place, with	2	4	8	\rightarrow	Events Safety Management Framework to be agreed to ensure consistent approach and accountability. To reflect learnings from Whizzfizz, Waterside, Christmas. Crowd Safety consultancy to be arranged prior to WhizzFizz.	Feb-19	
9 Andrew Small Andy Barton	Business interruption affecting the Council's resources and its ability to deliver critical services. Loss of IT due to failure or cyber attack.	Service delivery disruption and impact on the Council's ability to deliver critical services. Reputational damage to the council.	2	4	8	Moderate	EP & BC Steering Group established to ensure coordination. Increased use of cloud technology, less paper documents.	2	3	6		All the BCPs being reviewed and updated to ensure fit for purpose.	Feb 19	
O Andrew Small Andy Barton	· ·	Exposure of confidential information or corruption of data; Prosecution or fine for statutory breach; Loss of public trust	3	4	12	Substantial	Data Governance Officer with responsibility for DP and info governance. IGG monitors specific risks and has its own action plan. Information Management Strategy has been revised in readiness for GDPR. Mandatory training; Investigations into data breaches. Periodic data sweep. HB Law supporting. Information Asset Registers, identified Information Asset Owners, retention schedules in place. Privacy Impact Assessments for all projects	2	3	6	↓	GDPR programme targets achieved for compliance by May2018. Post GDPR programme to complete remaining tasks, including full policy review and breach procedures. Dual factor sign in roll-out in progress, almost finished. Programme for Member email usage compliance completed.		
1 Andrew Grant Will Rysdale	& children who may be at risk of significant harm. Requirements of "Prevent" are not implemented and applied. Internal processes and controls are	Failure to refer concerns to the appropriate agency for investigation; Damage to reputation; Harm to vulnerable adult or child as a result of failure to refer. Reputational damage to the council should perpetrator of terrorism be living or radicalised within the borough. A known sex offender is not prevented from having access to vulnerable adults and children.	2	4	8	Moderate	Internal AVDC safeguarding board with membership across all sectors. Mandatory training rolled out to all staff. Use self reporting template/RAG framework (S11); Meeting with Chair of Bucks safeguarding board – questions asked about current safeguarding arrangement and recommendations made; AVDC Chairs Community Safety Partnership (Prevent). Check applications for taxi licenses with disclosure Scotland. Whistleblowing policy in place and Managing volunteers policy in place. Members training on Prevent (WRAP) (Oct17). Internal audit (May17). Member training on Safeguarding 2018.	2	3	6		Training needs assessment for different roles to be completed New starter mandatory induction training - IT solution to monitor & enforce completion		
22 Andrew Small Andy Barton	Fraud, financial impropriety or improper business practices. Potential for fraud, corruption, malpractice or error, by internal or external threats.	Immediate financial loss; reputational harm; inquiry costs and penalties.	2	3	6	Substantial	Compliance team focus on CT liability, Housing Benefit, Tax Reduction entitlement, exemptions and discounts. New Fin Regs & Procedures update financial controls. Internal audit reviews and oversight of fraud action plan. Fraud Awareness session provided at Manager Training.	1	3	3	\Rightarrow			
23 Andrew Small Andy Barton	Equalities - Decisions taken by the Council do not consider equalities resulting in Judicial Review and other litigation	Reputational risk to the authority and inability to progress with strategic objectives of the organisation; potential cost to the Council if decisions made against the authority.	2	3	6	Moderate	Equalities steering group. Equality Impact Assessments performed. Annual Equalities report to Cabinet Jan18Post restructure, AVDC profile has been reviewed and is broadly consistent.	1	2	2	\Rightarrow	Equalities report Jan 2019		